UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/652,746	08/29/2003	Richard L. Watkins	4022-000013	1768	
	27572 7590 04/08/2008 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 828	HILLS, MI 48303	MIGGINS, MICHAEL C			
BLOOMFIELL) HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/652,746	WATKINS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael C. Miggins	1794			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 March 2008</u> .					
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	, -					
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>54-64 and 75-85</u> is/are pending in th	ne application.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>54-64 and 75-85</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
. • / 🗀		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	<u>-</u>	n priority under 35 LLS C & 119/s	a)-(d) or (f)			
	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
۵)	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	<u> </u>					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Discrete of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/652,746 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

REJECTIONS WITHDRAWN

1. There are no rejections withdrawn.

REJECTIONS REPEATED

2. All of the rejections maintained in the final rejection of 11/19/07, page 2, paragraph 2 are repeated for the reasons of record.

NEW REJECTIONS

3. There are no new rejections.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

4. Applicant's arguments of 3/19/08 have been carefully considered but are deemed unpersuasive.

Applicant has argued that the gel reducing additive of Camilleri is reacted with the polyurethane and thus the proposed combination, adding the gel reducing additive of Camilleri to Bonk, can not be made without impermissible hindsight. Applicant alleges that the only way Bonk and Camilleri can be combined is replace the polyurethane of Bonk with the polyurethane of Camilleri which has already been reacted with the gel reducing additive and therefore the combined teachings would lack a gel reducing additive.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,746

Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

Even if applicant's allegations are correct. Applicant's claims make no distinction between reacted gel reducing additive and non-reacted gel reducing additive. Thus, such a combination would still read on applicant's claims because the gel reducing additive is present in the mixture at some point. Furthermore, the gel reducing additive only reacts with the unreacted isocyanate groups present (column 3, lines 24-40). If 5 wt.% gel reducing additive is used and there are only 2 wt.% unreacted isocyanate group to react with than the composition contains 3 wt.% gel reducing additive and reads on applicant's claims as written. It is to be noted that applicant's gel reducing additives work in much the same way in that gel formation is prevented because the gel reducing additive will react with unreacted polyurethane functional groups thus preventing gel formation (see paragraphs [0023], [0048] – [0051] of the instant specification).

There is nothing in the disclosure of Bonk or Camilleri which would preclude on of ordinary skill in the art from simply adding 5 wt.% gel reducing additive to the composition of Bonk. The camilleri reference provides ample motivation in that the gel reducing additive lowers gel content (column 3, lines 24-68).

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a

7 (1 C C) (1 C C)

reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Conclusion

5. This is an RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/652,746. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Miggins whose telephone number is 571-272-1494. The examiner can normally be reached on 1:00-10:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,746 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael C. Miggins/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

MCM March 30, 2008